

iLF Forschungs- und Entwicklungsgesellschaft Lacke und Farben mbH Fichtestraße 29 | 39112 Magdeburg

# TEST REPORT

Test Report No:	
Client:	

Offer No:

Laboratory:

130608 MIG Material Innovative Gesellschaft mbH Mr Burkhard Brandt Am Grarock 3 33154 Salzkotten **GERMANY** -/22.08.2013 Contract No/Date: 130648 Subcontractors: none 3 months for stable retaining samples Archiving of Samples: Subject of Testing: energy saving paint for exterior use Aim of Testing: application-related testing Origin of Samples: provided by client Entry Date of Samples: 20.08.2013 Start of Testing: 27.08.2013 End of Testing: 17.10.2013 **Application Technology** Test Methods: see paragraph 2 "Test methods and evaluation" Number of Pages: 6

The test methods marked \*) are non-accredited test methods.

Fichtestraße 29 D-39112 Magdeburg Tel: +49 (0)391 6090-0 Fax: +49 (0)391 6090-217 contact@lackinstitut.de www.lackinstitut.de

Stadtspk. Magdeburg BLZ: 810 532 72 Kto.: 34 372 260 Nord/LB Magdeburg BLZ: 250 500 00 Kto.: 122 034 036

Geschäftsführer: Dr. Ulrich Westerwelle Dr. Norbert Pietschmann Sitz der Gesellschaft: Magdeburg

USt-IdNr · DE163828357 Steuer-Nr .: 102/110/00843 AG Stendal HRB 107162





# 1 Subject of testing

For the tests a white *Energy Saving Paint for Exterior Use* (5-L-container) was provided by the client.

#### 2 Test methods and evaluation

## 2.1 Determination of density

Test method: pyknometer method according to DIN EN ISO 2811-
Pyknometer: metal pyknometer with a volumetric capacity of 100 (manufacturer: BYK-Gardner, Geretsried)

Testing temperature: (23  $\pm$  0,5) °C

## 2.2 Exposure to artificial weathering

Test method:	exposure to fluorescent UV lamps and water according to DIN EN ISO 11507
Application:	by brushing in two layers, load: each with 200-300 mL/m <sup>2</sup> (priming coat diluted with 5 % water, top coat undiluted), intermediate drying time: at least 24 h
Substrate:	fibre-reinforced cement panels (150 mm x 70 mm)
Drying:	7 days at $(23 \pm 2)$ °C and $(50 \pm 5)$ % relative humidity
Lamps:	type II, UV-A (340)
Cycle:	<ul> <li>method A – exposure with condensation of water</li> <li>four hours irradiation at (60 ± 3) °C,</li> <li>for hours condensation at (50 ± 3) °C</li> </ul>
Duration:	1000 h
Evaluation:	evaluation of degradation of coatings (criteria according to DIN EN 1062-11):
	<ul> <li>designation of intensity of uniform changes in appearance (for example changes in colour and gloss) according to DIN EN ISO 4628-1, Table 3</li> <li>blistering according to DIN EN ISO 4628-2</li> <li>cracking according to DIN EN ISO 4628-4</li> <li>flaking according to DIN EN ISO 4628-5</li> <li>chalking according to DIN EN ISO 4628-7</li> </ul>



# 2.3 Mud cracking<sup>\*)</sup>

Application:	with a doctor blade, which incorporates a wedge-shaped groove $(502000 \ \mu m)$
Substrate:	glass panels
Drying:	48 h at (23 $\pm$ 2) °C and (50 $\pm$ 5) % relative humidity
Evaluation:	determination of the dry-film thickness, at which the coating film cracks

# 2.4 Workability check \*)

The paint was applied by rolling onto a textured wall-covering of 1 m x 2 m in area, which was held in a vertical position.

A roller was used to decorate the substrate according to the recommendations of the supplier. A visual assessment was made of the product in original state (in the pot) and when applied onto the substrate. The following parameters were evaluated:

- Applicability scale from 1 (very good) to 5 (very poor)
- Splashing scale from 1 (none) to 5 (very strong)
- Sagging scale from 1 (none) to 5 (very strong)
- Application rate Application rates are given in g/m<sup>2</sup> for one and two paint layers to achieve a wetcovering of a grey and a black contrast stripe on the wall.
- visual assessment of the (dried) coating
  - hiding power
  - touch-drying
  - homogenity of surface
- Cleaning of application items Are there any problems when cleaning the roller with water?
- <u>Smell nuisance</u> scale from 1 (very low) to 5 (very strong)



# 3 Test results

Table 1 Density

Sample designation	ρ [g/cm³]
Energie Saving Paint for Exterior Use	1,1

**Table 2** Degradation of coatings after 1000 h artificial weathering

Sample designation	Intensity of	Degree	Degree	Degree	Degree
	changes in	of	of	of	of
	appearance	blistering	cracking	flaking	chalking
Energie Saving Paint for Exterior Use	Rating 0	0(S0)	0(S0)	0(S0)	2

#### Table 3 Mud cracking

Sample designation	Dry-film thickness, at which the coating film cracks [µm]				
Energie Saving Paint for Exterior Use	550630				



## Table 4 Results of workability check

1. Applicability	very good	good		satisfacto	у	poor	very poor
Assessment:	Х	÷	Х				
2. Splashing	none	little		medium		strong	very strong
Assessment :		X		< Comparison of the second sec			
3. Sagging	none	little		medium		strong	very strong
Assessment :	Х						
4. Application rate [g/m <sup>2</sup> ]	<u>1. layer</u>			<u>2. layer</u>			
	293			240			
5. Visual assessment of the (dried) coating							
hiding power	4				2		
touch-dry	approx. 1,5 h			approx. 1,5 h			
homogenity of surface	homogeneously mat coating surface, strong body, structured by rolling						
6. Cleaning of application items							
Assessment :	no problems when using water						
7. Smell nuisance	very low	low		moderate	;	strong	very strong
Assessment :			Х				



## 4 Summary

After 1000 hours artificial weathering (exposure to UV-A lamps and water) the tested coating shows a good resistance (no yellowing, no delamination, no blistering, no cracking, no flaking, slight chalking).

Mud cracking (i.e. the occurrence of cracks during the drying phase) already starts at a dry-film thickness in the range of 550 to 630  $\mu$ m. For exterior emulsion paints this value should be at least 900  $\mu$ m (tolerance level).

From a practical point of view the tested energy saving paint is easy to apply and therefore ranked as good to very good; but there is a medium splashing. After application of two coats the visual appearance of the coating surface is homogeneously covering and mat. The surface is slightly structured by rolling. The smell nuisance during the application is low.

Magdeburg, 21 October 2013 iLF GmbH

i.V. Diebet

Dipl.-Chem. Cornelia Dreyer Head of Laboratory Application Technology

T. Bollipe

Dipl.-Ing. (FH) Tobias Böttge Responsible Test Engineer

Notes:

The test results refer only to the subjects of testing.

The publication of the results **in extracts** is subject to the approval of the iLF Forschungs- und Entwicklungsgesellschaft Lacke und Farben mbH.

This test report is a shortened test report that does not cover all test conditions required by the applicable standards.